

Rifle Range Rd and Plateau Drive Wollongbar

| | Plateau Drive Wollongbar | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Proposal Title : | Rifle Range Rd and Plateau Driv | /e Wollongbar | |
| Proposal Summary : | The proposal is to reconfigure the boundary between land zoned RE1 Public Recreation and R3 Medium Density at Rifle Range Road Wollongbar, including rezoning an additional 8000m2 area from RE1 to R3. A corresponding amendment to the Minimum Lot Size Map is also proposed. Additionally, rezoning of a small area designated for road widening from RE1 Public Recreation to R2 Low Density Residential is proposed. | | |
| PP Number : | PP_2014_BALLI_005_00 | Dop File No : | 14/10988 |
| roposal Details | # | | |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 02-Jul-2014 | LGA covered : | Ballina |
| Region : | Northern | RPA : | Ballina Shire Council |
| State Electorate : | BALLINA | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |
| LEP Type : | Spot Rezoning | | |
| Location Details | | | |
| Street : Rif | e Range Rd & Plateau Drive | | |
| Suburb : | City : | Wollongbar | Postcode : 2478 |
| Land Parcel : Lot | s 5 & 6 DP 1161720 | 20 | |
| DoP Planning Offic | cer Contact Details | | |
| Contact Name : | Jenny Johnson | | |
| Contact Number : | 0266416614 | | |
| Contact Email : | jenny.johnson@planning.nsw.go | v.au | |
| RPA Contact Deta | ils · | | |
| Contact Name : | Klaus Kerzinger | | |
| Contact Number : | 0266861201 | | |
| Contact Email : | klausk@ballina.nsw.gov.au | | |
| DoP Project Mana | ger Contact Details | | |
| Contact Name : | Jim Clark | 3 | |
| Contact Number : | 0266416604 | | |
| Contact Email : | jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au | | |
| Land Release Data | 3 | | |
| Growth Centre : | | Release Area Name : | |
| Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | Far North Coast Regional Strategy | Consistent with Strategy : | Yes |

R

| Rifle Range Rd and Pl | ateau Drive Wollongbar | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| MDP Number : | | Date of Release : | |
| Area of Release (Ha) : | 0.08 | Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : | N/A |
| No. of Lots : | 0 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : | 0 |
| Gross Floor Area : | 0 | No of Jobs Created : | 0 |
| The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : | Yes | | x |
| Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : | Νο | | - |
| If Yes, comment : | | | |
| Supporting notes | 6 | | |
| Internal Supporting Notes : | No special arrangements are prominer nature of the matter. | posed for processing the | e planning proposal, due to the |
| External Supporting Notes : | The land is owned by Ballina Cou not be using delegation. | ncil. Accordingly, the Co | ouncil has indicated that it will |
| Adequacy Assessmen | | | |
| Statement of the ob | | | |
| | ojectives provided? Yes | | 14 |
| Comment : | The objectives show that the p recreation zones that currently accordingly, and to resolve a r | apply to the land, amen | |
| Explanation of prov | isions provided - s55(2)(b) | | |
| Is an explanation of pro | ovisions provided? Yes | | 54 |
| Comment : | • | ovisions are to zone land | ethod of achieving the objectives of at Lots 5 and 6 from R3 Medium Lot 6 from RE1 to R3. |
| 0) | requirement on land proposed | l to be rezoned to RE1, a zoned to R3. Also, land a dening, will be zoned fro | |
| Justification - s55 (| 2)(c) | | |
| | gy been agreed to by the Director G | eneral? Yes | × |

| | 3.3 Home Occupations |
|--|---|
| | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport |
| | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection |
| | 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies |
| | 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes |
| Is the Director Gene | ral's agreement required? No |
| c) Consistent with Stan | dard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes |
| d) Which SEPPs have | the RPA identified? |
| e) List any other matters that need to be considered : | No other matters need to be considered. S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for a Public Purpose has been considered in the proposal. It does not apply, as Ballina Council owns the land. |
| Have inconsistencies w | vith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A |
| lf No, explain : | No inconsistencies arise. |
| apping Provided - | s55(2)(d) |
| s mapping provided? | |
| Comment : | A Land Zoning Map and a Lot Size Map are provided. Additionally, a site identification |
| | map is provided. The maps are adequate. |
| ommunity consult | ation - s55(2)(e) |
| Has community consul | tation been proposed? Yes |
| Comment : | The RPA recommends the proposal is to be exhibited in accordance with the Gateway determination. A 14 day public exhibition period is recommended. |
| dditional Director | General's requirements |
| Are there any additiona | I Director General's requirements? No |
| If Yes, reasons : | |
|)verall adequacy o | f the proposal |
| Does the proposal mee | at the adequacy criteria? Yes |
| If No, comment : | The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing adequate objectives and intended outcomes; 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve the outcomes; |
| | Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; Providing a project timeline, which envisages the finalised proposal being forwarded to the Department by October 2014 - however, while the proposal may be finalised by that time, the Council is to be given 6 months to finalise in case of unexpected delays. The Council has not requested delegation to finalise the proposal, for the reason that Council owns the land. As the land is to be sold by Council for residential and semi-commercial (child care) proposals, it is appropriate delegation to Council not be issued. |
| posal Assessment | |
| rincipal LEP: | |
| Due Date : | * |
| Comments in relation to Principal | Ballina LEP 2012 is the principal LEP. The planning proposal will amend the zoning map LZN_001B and the Lot Size Map LSZ_001B. |

<u>s</u> 2

Rifle Range Rd and Plateau Drive Wollongbar

Assessment Criteria

| Assessment offerin | | | × | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Need for planning proposal : | It is needed in order to a | a proposed subdivision in the Wolld align the residential and open space lan, providing medium density and rent zones allow. | zoning of the land with | |
| Consistency with strategic planning framework : | Boundary map for urban space, assists to achiev with the Ballina Growth | the Far North Coast Regional Strate n use. The proposal, by rationalising te the desired outcomes of the Strat Management Strategy 2012 approve the Strategy's identified Wollongba | medium density and op egy. The proposal is con ed by the Director Genera | en sistent al on |
| | | ered to be consistent with all applica serving Land for Public Purposes as | | ections |
| | Low Density Residentia reservation of land for p inconsistency with this small area of the land in | Public Purposes of land from RE1 Public Recreation I is inconsistent with this Direction a public purposes without the approva Direction is considered to be of min wolved and due to the acquisition a site in the same locality. | as it reduces an existing I of the Director General or significance because | . The |
| Environmental social economic impacts : | | shed residential area. Lot 6 has an a a) and partly R3. Lot 5 has an area o | | - |
| | No adverse ecological i | ner drive-in. Lot 5 has been cleared mpacts are likely. A contamination ificant risk of harm was likely to affe | assessment carried out | |
| | district park, with a min RE1 land, a reduction o would be achieved, the which in this case shou BSOSS, acquired 13 ha | Space Study (BSOSS) recommends imum size of 1 hectare. The proposa f 8000m2 from the current situation. proposal creates a 0.25 shortfall in f Id be 1.25 ha. However, the Council, of land elsewhere in Wollongbar for fall in open space is justified in the o in the village. | I will create a 1 hectare While the 1 ha minimum he recommended open subsequent to adopting sports fields. The propo | area of size space, the osal |
| | Economic impacts: No adverse economic iı | npacts are likely. | | |
| Assessment Proces | \$ \$ | | | |
| Proposal type : | Consistent | Community Consultation Period : | 14 Days | |
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 6 months | Delegation : | DG | |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : | N. | | | |
| | | | | |

| | PAC required? No | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
| (2)(a) Should the matter ; | proceed ? Yes | | |
| f no, provide reasons : | The planning proposal is supporte | d at this stage. Recommended with cor | ditions. |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(b) | : No | | |
| f Yes, reasons : | | Δ. | |
| dentify any additional stu | dies, if required. : | | |
| f Other, provide reasons | : | | |
| dentify any internal cons | ultations if required . | | |
| | | | |
| No internal consultation | requirea | | |
| s the provision and fundi | ng of state infrastructure relevant to t | this plan? No | |
| f Yes, reasons : | | | |
| uments | | | |
| | | | |
| Document File Name | | DocumentType Name | Is Public |
| Planning Proposal - Lot Wollongbar.pdf | s 5 and 6 Rifle Range Road | Proposal | Yes |
| | ermination Report - signed.pdf | Determination Document | Yes |
| | | | |
| 2 | | Recommended with Conditions | J. |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : | Recommended with Conditions | J. |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection | Recommended with Conditions | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : | Recommended with Conditions | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation | | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufacto 3.3 Home Occupations | ured Home Estates | a |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra | ured Home Estates nsport | a |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect | ured Home Estates nsport ion | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra | ured Home Estates nsport ion strategies | a |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S | ured Home Estates nsport ion strategies | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Pu | ured Home Estates nsport ion itrategies irposes | a |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Public It is recommended that: | ured Home Estates nsport ion strategies rposes ported; | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Pu It is recommended that: 1) The planning proposal be sup | ured Home Estates nsport ion itrategies rposes ported; ibited for 14 days; | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufacte 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Public It is recommended that: 1) The planning proposal be sup 2) The planning proposal be exhi | ured Home Estates nsport ion itrategies rposes ported; ibited for 14 days; | |
| Preparation of the plannin | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Pu It is recommended that: 1) The planning proposal be sup 2) The planning proposal be exhi 3) The planning proposal be com | ured Home Estates nsport ion itrategies rposes ported; ibited for 14 days; upleted within 6 months. | 2 |
| Anditional Information : | ng proposal supported at this stage : 2.2 Coastal Protection 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect 5.1 Implementation of Regional S 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Public 1 is recommended that: 1) The planning proposal be sup 2) The planning proposal be exhi 3) The planning proposal be com 4) No consultation with agencies 5) The Council's decision not to b | ured Home Estates nsport ion Strategies rposes ported; ibited for 14 days; upleted within 6 months. is required use delegation is supported te determines that the inconsistency wit | th S117 Direction |

| | environmental, social or economic impacts. 3) Rezoning the small area of land for road-widening to the road's R2 zone is minor in nature and scale, and is unlikely to result in environmental, social or economic impacts. 3) While the proposal is of a minor nature, in light of the Council's ownership of the land and the 8000m2 reduction in open space, a community consultation period should be undertaken. 4) In light of the Council's ownership of the land, the Council should not use its delegation to make the plan. | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| Signature: | 22 | | |